



Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management

Effects of Ozone Cross Sections on the OMI OMDOAO3 and OMO3PRO products

Pepijn Veefkind Maarten Sneep Johan de Haan Mark Kroon

Contents

• Activities at KNMI for ACSO

- OMDOAO3: Results presented last year
- OMDOAO3: New results

- OMO3PR: Results presented last year
- OMO3PR: New Results

Conclusions and recommendations

Activities Related to ACSO

- Checked our version of the crosssections with the ACSO database.
- Released a document [TN-OMIE-KNMI-943] on the impact on OMDOAO3.
- Investigated the impact on OMDOAO3 and OMO3PR.







OMDOAO3 Algorithm

- Fitting window 331.6 336.6 nm.
- Fit function:

$$\frac{I(\lambda)}{F(\lambda)} = P(\lambda) \exp\left[-N_s \sigma_{O3}(\lambda, T_{eff})\right] + c_{Ring} \frac{I_{Ring}(\lambda)}{F(\lambda)} \exp\left[-N_s \sigma_{O3}'(\lambda, T_{eff})\right]$$

• Where:

$$\sigma_{O3}(\lambda, T_{eff}) = \sigma_{O3}(\lambda, T_0) + \left(T_{eff} - T_0\right) \frac{d\sigma_{O3}(\lambda)}{dT} \bigg|_{T = T_0}$$

- Fit parameters: slant ozone column, effective ozone temperature, Ring parameters, polynomial coefficients (2nd order).
- Linearization of the ozone cross section around 220 K.
- Bass-Paur are the default cross sections used.

Comparison of the Cross Sections

- Difference in the cross section <1.5%
- Difference in the T dependence <~20%



Cross sections @ 220 K



Temperature derivative @ 220 K







2009 Conclusions OMDOAO3

- Difference in ozone column 1 ± 2 DU (1-sigma).
- Bass-Paur fit the data with higher precision and less residual.
- Difference in ozone correlates with the retrieved effective ozone temperature.
- For this fit window there is no clear reason to shift to other cross-sections.

Comparisons 2005-10-01









Teff (Brion)



Difference BP-Brion









Ozone Column Precision

Effective Temperature



Comparison at high SZA

McMurdo (77.9S, 166.7E), 2007



Conclusions OMDOAO3

- The difference in total ozone is small:
 0.5+/-1.7 DU.
- The differences in ozone depend on the ozone effective temperature.
- The slope between the effective temperatures is ~1.3, with Brion giving a larger variation.
- Fit quality of the BP cross sections is marginally better.
- Final conclusion may be derived from effective temperature validation.







OMI OMO3PR

- Optimal estimation
- Wavelength range:
 - 270 308.5 OMI UV-1
 - 311.5 330 OMI UV-2
- State vector includes:
 - Ozone at 18 layers
 - UV-1 and UV-2 Albedo (surface/cloud, 2nd order polynomial)
 - UV-1 and UV-2 Radiance stray light (2nd order polynomial)
- Pressure-Temperature profile from ECMWF.
- Cloud pressure for O₂-O₂ cloud product.
- A-prior Labow, Logan, McPeters, with 20% error and 6 km correlation length.

http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/data-holdings/OMI/omo3pr_v003.shtml







2009 Conclusions OMO3PR

- Results based on a limited data set.
- Total column ozone ~1 ± 1% lower values for BMD.
- Difference at specific layers can be 10-15%, increasing towards the troposphere.
- From the residuals there is no clear indication which cross sections are better.

Comparison Setup

- Comparisons for four days of November 2009.
- Retrievals selected are for the SH and for across track pixels 1-13.
- Number of comparisons >120,000
- Focus on the total ozone and the reflectance cost function.

Total Ozone





Difference (BP-Brion) 0.9 +/- 2.8 DU

Reflectance Cost Function



Conclusions OMO3PR

- The difference in total ozone is small:
 0.9+/-2.8 DU.
- The residuals for Brion are significantly smaller compared to BP.
- Based on the reflectance cost functions we recommend the Brion cross sections.

Future Plans

- OMDOAO3: Effective temperature validation.
- OMO3PR: Write report for the obtained results.
- Implement the ACSO recommended cross section in operational algorithms.